

This Free E-Book is brought to you by [Natural-Aging.com](http://Natural-Aging.com).

**100% Effective Natural Hormone Treatment**  
**Menopause, Andropause And Other Hormone Imbalances**  
**Impair Healthy Healing In People Over The Age Of 30!**

**A Summary Of Recent Pennsylvania & Federal Court Decisions & Rules Changes**

**By Daniel Siegel**

REPORTING DECISIONS THROUGH MAY 4, 2006

Practice Tip:

Exploding the Myth of the 90-Day Rule The constant mantra that injured workers must treat with a "company doctor" for 90 days is a myth, and it's time to put it to rest. All too often, I hear that the "law" requires an injured worker to treat with a "company doctor" for 90 days when, in reality, this "rule" applies to virtually to no one. I hope this "Practice Tip" puts this myth to rest - for good.

Treatment with a "company doctor" is governed by Section 306(f.1)(1)(i), whose relevant provision says:

Provided an employer establishes a list of at least six designated health care providers, no more than four of whom may be a coordinated care organization and no fewer than three of whom shall be physicians, the employe shall be required to visit one of the physicians or other health care providers so designated and shall continue to visit the same or another designated physician or health care provider for a period of ninety (90) days from the date of the first visit: Provided, however, That the employer shall not include on the list a physician or other health care provider who is employed, owned or controlled by the employer or the employer's insurer unless employment, ownership or control is disclosed on the list. . . . Should the employe not comply with the foregoing, the employer will be relieved from liability for the payment for the services rendered during such applicable period. It shall be the duty of the employer to provide a clearly written notification of the employe's rights and duties under this section to the employe. The employer shall further ensure that the employe has been informed and that he understands these rights and duties. This duty shall be evidenced only by the employe's written acknowledgment of having been informed and having understood his rights and duties. Any failure of the employer to provide and evidence such notification shall relieve the employe from any notification duty owed, notwithstanding any provision of this act to the contrary, and the employer shall remain liable for all rendered treatment. Subsequent treatment may be provided by any health care provider of the employe's own choice. (emphasis supplied)

## A Summary Of Recent Pennsylvania Federal Court Decisions Rules Changes

In the decade or so since the legislature enacted this provision, I have never met an injured worker whose employer complied with it. Consequently, and much to the dismay of employers and insurers, if the employer hasn't provided the employee with requisite written notification, and obtained the employee's signature, and provided the list complies with all of the other requirements of Section 306(f.1), an injured worker does not have to treat with a company doctor.

Dan Siegel to Speak to Montgomery County Bar Association on Friday, June 9th On Friday, June 9, 2006, Dan Siegel will present "How to Do 90 Minutes of Work in 60 Minutes" at a combined luncheon meeting of the Montgomery Bar Association's Criminal Defense and Workers' Compensation Committees. To be held in the MBA CLE Room from Noon to 2:00 p.m., Dan's talk will focus on practical, easy-to-use ways that attorneys can use technology to be more effective litigators - doing so more effectively and in less time than through traditional methods. The presentation will include demonstrations of software as well as offer tips on how lawyers can take better advantage of the technology already in use in their offices.

For more information about the meeting, contact the Montgomery Bar Association. The meeting is open to all Montgomery Bar Association Members, and pre-registration is requested.

Dan Siegel Authors Feature Article About Case Management Software "Take a (case) load off with the right software," Dan Siegel's in-depth analysis of integrated case management software, was a feature article in the May 2006 issue of TRIAL, the monthly magazine of the Association of Trial Lawyers of America (ATLA). Contact Dan at (610) 446-3467 or

[dan@itsllonline.com](mailto:dan@itsllonline.com)

to get a copy.

### PENNSYLVANIA STATE COURT DECISIONS

#### 1. AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE

1.1. Uninsured & Underinsured Motorist Benefits ° Supreme Court of Pennsylvania fCraley v. State Farm Fire & Casualty Co. No. 162 MAP 2004 (April 21, 2006) Holding: A named insured may waive inter-policy stacking of uninsured and/or underinsured motorist coverage under Section 1738 of the Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S.A. Justice Cappy filed a concurring opinion; Justice Eakin also filed a concurring opinion.

1.2. Loaned Vehicle Coverage ° Superior Court of Pennsylvania fProgressive Northern Insurance Co. v. Universal Underwriters Insurance Co. 2006 PA Super 101 (May 3, 2006) Holding: Section 1786 of the Motor Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S.A., requires financial responsibility for all vehicles operated on the highways of Pennsylvania by the vehicles' owners and by all others who have the owners' permission to operate the vehicles. Thus, a person using a motor vehicle with the permission of the vehicle's owner, including a person operating a vehicle on loan from a car dealership, is an "insured" under the vehicle's policy. When two automobile insurance policies provide primary liability coverage, the insurers must share in the loss.

## A Summary Of Recent Pennsylvania Federal Court Decisions Rules Changes

1.3.Bad Faith Claims ° Superior Court of Pennsylvania fCondio v. Erie Insurance Exchange 2006 PA Super 92 (April 25, 2006) Holding:An insurer does not have a heightened duty of good faith to its insured in the context of a first party claim, as opposed to a third party claim, but does have a duty of good faith and fair dealing towards its insured. This duty also applies to an insurer's handling of uninsured and underinsured motorist claims, and does not allow an insurer to protect its own interests at the expense of its insured's interests, nor does it require an insurer to sacrifice its own interests by blindly paying each and every claim submitted by an insured to avoid a bad faith lawsuit.

1.4.Termination of Coverage ° Superior Court of Pennsylvania fRussock v. AAA Mid–Atlantic Insurance Co. 2006 PA Super 72 (April 3, 2006) Holding:When an insurer requires an insured to make premium payments by mail, acceptance of the premium is complete by posting the letter in normal mail channels, without further action. Further, a warning of cancellation accompanying an insurance renewal bill is preemptive and violates 31 Pa.Code § 61.10(h).

### 2.WORKERS' COMPENSATION

2.1.Vocational Expert Expenses ° Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania fTaylor v. Workers' Compensation Appeal Board (Bethlehem Area School District) No. 1651 C.D. 2005 (May 2, 2006) Holding:The services of a vocational expert are not reimbursable medical expenses under Section 306(f.1)(1) of the Workers' Compensation Act, 77 P.S. § 531(1)(i)(ii), which requires that "medical services" be provided by a "health care provider."

2.2.Payment of Medical Expenses ° Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania fKeystone Coal Mining Corp. v. Workers' Compensation Appeal Board (Fink) No. 1487 C.D. 2005 (April 13, 2006) Holding:An employer remains responsible for payment of medical expenses even after the employer's liability for payment of partial disability benefits has ceased and partial disability benefits have been exhausted. Liability for payment of medical expenses continues absent a finding that the employee's disability has ceased to exist.

2.3.Description of Injury ° Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania fCity of Philadelphia v. Workers' Compensation Appeal Board (Fluek) No. 1250 C.D. 2005 (April 6, 2006) Holding:Where no reasonable nexus or obvious relationship exists between the injury described in a Notice of Compensation Payable and a subsequently claimed physical disability, a claimant bears the burden of establishing the work–relatedness of the condition before an employer may bear the burden of disproving any continued disability related to that subsequently alleged condition.

### 3.ADMINISTRATE PROCEDURE

3.1.Timeliness of Appeals - Mailing ° Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania fShea v. Unemployment Compensation Board of Review) No. 2042 C.D. 2005 (April 21, 2006) Holding:Pursuant to 34 Pa.Code § 101.82(b)(1), the filing date of an appeal that is mailed is determined in the following order:

1.The date of the postmark on the envelope containing the appeal; or 2.A Certificate of Mailing; or 3.A Certified Mail receipt.

## A Summary Of Recent Pennsylvania Federal Court Decisions Rules Changes

If there is no official U.S. Postal Service postmark, no Certificate of Mailing and no Certified Mail receipt, then the mailing date/appeal date will be determined by the date of the postage meter mark on the envelope containing the appeal.

### 4.CAUSES OF ACTION/DEFENSES

4.1.Sovereign Immunity ° Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania fMurphy v. City of Duquesne No. 2284 C.D. 2005 (May 5, 2006) Holding:There is no cognizable cause of action for holding a municipality and its individual police officers liable in damages when they do not investigate and prosecute criminal activity with the degree of zeal satisfactory to the plaintiff. Rather, a crime is an offense against the sovereign, injuring not just the victim but also the public at large. It is for the criminal prosecutor, not a private citizen, to control the enforcement of the criminal statutes.

### UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT DECISION OF INTEREST

1.MEDICAID SUBROGATION °Arkansas Dept. of Health and Human Services v. Ahlborn No. 04–1506 (May 1, 2006) Holding:Federal Medicaid law does not authorize a state to impose a lien on portions of a tort settlement intended to compensate the recipient for damages distinct from medical expenses such as pain and suffering, lost wages and loss of future earnings. Rather, a state cannot claim more than the portion of the recipient's settlement that represents medical expenses.

### NEW FEDERAL RULE OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE 32.1

Many lawyers have complained for years about the practice by which Courts designate opinions as "Memorandum Opinions" or "non–precedential." While this practice continues in Pennsylvania, it will end in the Federal Courts on January 1, 2007, when Fed.R.A.P. 32.1 goes into effect. The Rule

prohibits a court from restricting the citation of federal judicial opinions, orders, judgments or other written dispositions that have been designated "unpublished," "not for publication," "non–precedential," "not precedent," etc.

After January 1, 2007, if a party cites a federal judicial opinion, order, judgment, or other written disposition that is not available in a publicly accessible electronic database, the party must file and serve a copy of that opinion, order, judgment or disposition with the brief or other paper in which it is cited.

Daniel J. Siegel, an attorney in Havertown, Pennsylvania, has authored this newsletter since 1988. To subscribe, or contact Dan Siegel, go to

<http://www.danieljsiegel.com>

or

<http://www.palegallinks.com>

or

send an email to

[subscribe@danieljsiegel.com](mailto:subscribe@danieljsiegel.com)

with the subject "Subscribe." Dan Siegel also has

offices in Philadelphia.

## **The United State Court System, an Eye for Research**

**By Matthew Abts**

A Cup of Tea, Coffee, or Something Else

[www.quiettable.com](http://www.quiettable.com)

Whenever investigating an individual or business, one of the most basic checks is for lawsuits, criminal charges, and bankruptcy filings. But how do you research the court system? Is there one simple site to go to where you pop in a name and are rewarded with a listing of all court filings across the country pertaining to that individual? No such luck ... yet, anyway! To understand court research, you first need to understand a bit about the USA's court system.

There are two major separate court entities in the USA, the State court system and the Federal court system. The Federal court system is divided into three branches, the federal criminal, civil, bankruptcy, and appeals courts. These courts are not 'single entities' — there are a number of federal courts scattered across the United States, each covering a certain geographic district within a 'circuit'. For a map of the circuits, see [www.uscourts.gov/images/CircuitMap.pdf](http://www.uscourts.gov/images/CircuitMap.pdf). For example, there is a Northern Mississippi district court, as well as a Southern. Cases are prosecuted by US Attorneys, who are elected, or Assistant US Attorneys, who are government employees. Regarding federal law, federal statutes are divided into sections called Titles. Title 11, for example, deals with bankruptcy, and under that Title you will find Chapters, such as Chapter 7 regarding liquidation of a business. All personal and business bankruptcies fall under federal statutes and are dealt with in federal court, and bankruptcies make up nearly 70% of all federal court cases. This makes researching whether an individual has a history of bankruptcy a considerably simpler matter, since only the relevant federal court needs to be searched. Title 18 contains the majority of the criminal statutes for the federal criminal court. Note that in many cases it can be decided for an individual to be tried in the federal rather than State court system, under federal-question jurisdiction rules, for a variety of reasons. For example, in a recent case where two teens burned a cross in a black family's yard, it was decided to prosecute them in federal rather than State court — the penalties that could be imposed by the federal court system were greater. Likewise, cases involving citizens from different States will be tried in Federal courts if the monetary amount involved in the case is greater than \$75,000. There are a number of other types of cases that are likewise defaulted to federal court, including cases involving patents or copyrights, cases challenging State laws (usually for Constitutional reasons), and cases involving federal

## A Summary Of Recent Pennsylvania Federal Court Decisions Rules Changes

agencies.

The State court system is somewhat more complex in its terminology, since this terminology differs from State to State, but in the end the terminology resolves into a system of 'upper' and 'lower' courts in civil and criminal matters. The State civil court was an 'upper' and 'lower' court, as does the State criminal court. In some States, these are referred to as the 'supreme' and 'county' courts, or the 'superior' and 'justice' courts. The upper courts tend to deal with more important subjects, or crimes with stiffer penalties (such as armed robbery, cases of gross malpractice, and often divorce). Lower courts will deal with misdemeanor crimes and small money claims (ie, small claims court). In criminal cases of all sorts, the prosecutor is usually the United States government (from the State's attorney office), and the case will be listed as The State of Wherever V. Lastname. Upper and lower courts are relatively simple to understand — big money cases or cases involving serious crimes go the upper, and

everything of lesser importance to the lower, but don't forget that most divorces are tried in the upper court! Unfortunately, the State court system doesn't stop there, but also includes, depending on the State, a variety of other courts such as the municipal court or traffic court, which handle matters specific to their speciality (ie, argue traffic tickets in traffic court). There are also appellate courts, for dealing with appeals.

So, now that we understand the court system a bit better, how do we go about finding court records? For Federal courts, this is a relatively simple matter: The majority of records are accessible through a system called PACER (Public Access to Court Electronic Records). PACER allows searching different courts by a variety of fields, including name. You as a citizen can sign up for PACER with a credit card at

<http://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov/>

— the service isn't free, but only charges a few dollars for good

documentation on most cases. Note that some districts still aren't in the PACER system (for example, the Alaska district court) — in this case, you can always pay a visit to the nearest district federal courthouse. Every district has one, and the records should be open to the public for free. Feel free to drive there and peruse! If the district court is further than you want to travel, there are courthouse researchers across the country who make a living looking up court records for those too far away from the court to visit in person.

State records are somewhat more complex. To access these records over the Internet, not only should the State records be online, but also the individual county in question. Most State higher court and appellate courts are online with free searching, but many lower (county) courts are not, only offer some records, or charge high fees. Of course, if you're only interested in researching cases in your area, all you need to do is drive to your local county courthouse, where the records are, by Federal law, public access. Your county courthouse should not charge you a fee to access these records, they should be free to peruse. Charging a fee online is for 'electronic access', not for seeing the actual documents themselves. Once again, if the county is outside your area you can hire a courtresearcher to do the job for you. To find county court researches and county/State courts on the Internet, simply do a search

## A Summary Of Recent Pennsylvania Federal Court Decisions Rules Changes

engine search for 'Countyname county court', and follow the links from there.

Matthew Abts is an x-military private investigator specializing in background investigations and judicial claims processing.

The United State Court System, an Eye for Research

What is the Difference Between a Power Of Attorney and a Guardianship? Which is Appropriate for Someone With Alzheimer's?

A Summary Of Recent Pennsylvania & New Jersey Appellate Court Decisions (august 2006)

Revealing The Truth Behind The Garnishment Laws

A Summary Of Recent State & Federal Appellate & Trial Court Decisions

Free Government Grants

The Amazing Bonus Pack


Copywriting Crash Course

Secret Copy Writer

65 Tried and True Traditional Amish Recipes



**This Free E-Book has been brought to you by [Natural-Aging.com](http://Natural-Aging.com).**



**100% Effective Natural Hormone Treatment**  
**Menopause, Andropause And Other Hormone Imbalances**  
**Impair Healthy Healing In People Over The Age Of 30!**